ABSTRACT
The recognition of ten Thai isolated numerals from zero to nine and 60 Thai polysyllabic words are compared between different recognition techniques, namely, Neural Network, Modified Backpropagation Neural Network, Fuzzy-Neural Network, and Hidden Markov Model. The 15-state left-to-right discrete hidden markov model in cooperation with the vector quantization technique has been studied and compared with the multilayer perceptron neural network using the error backpropagation, the modified backpropagation, and also with the fuzzy-neural network with the same configuration. The recognition error on Thai isolated numerals using the conventional neural network, the modified neural network, the fuzzy-neural network, and the hidden markov model techniques are 26.97 percent, 22.00 percent, 8.50 percent, and 15.75 percent respectively.

2. THAI SPEECH RECOGNITION APPROACHES
The speech recognition approaches applied to Thai language—the hidden markov model, the neural network, the modified backpropagation neural network, and the fuzzy-neural network—are described in details as follows.

2.1. Neural Network
The neural network (NN) employed in Thai numerals recognition is the multilayer perceptron neural network (MLP-NN) using the error backpropagation algorithm in training. The neural network configuration has 330 input nodes with one 70-node or one 90-node hidden layer and IO output nodes corresponding to IO Thai numerals. The input speech sequence must be time-normalized to fit the input node of the network. The input sequences compose of 33 feature vectors, each comprises 10 linear prediction coefficients for the total of 330 coefficients for input nodes in the input layer. The neural network configuration is shown in Figure 2.

2.2. Modified Backpropagation Neural Network
The modified error backpropagation neural network has been proposed and applied to the Thai numeral recognition [14]. The classical error backpropagation algorithm has been modified to improve the slowness of convergence and the recognition accuracy of a conventional neural network. The modifications have been made on the slope adaptation of the activation function and the momentum weighting adjustment parameter has been added.

The sigmoid activation function used in the neural network is shown in (1). The slope \( \alpha \) of the activation function has been modified to be adaptive to the error during training. The updating scheme of the activation function is shown in (2) and (3) using the gradient descent method. The \( \mu_\alpha \) is the momentum adjustment parameter for \( \alpha \), then, the momentum adjustment parameter for weight updating has been added as shown in the last term of (4). This will help the updated weight value not to be a local minima value and could lead to the local optimum value.
2.3. Fuzzy-Neural Network

The Fuzzy technique in cooperation with the Neural Network has been applied to recognize the speaker-independent Thai numerals recognition [5] compared to the conventional multilayer perceptron neural network. From the fuzzy set theory, a pattern “r” subset of the universe “R” has been created due to the grade of membership with the membership function \( \mu_A(r) \) to a fuzzy set A as shown in (5). The modified overlapping trapezoidal fuzzy membership functions as shown in Figure 3 have been functioned to convert a 10-order LP feature vector into a 30-order fuzzy membership feature vector as shown in (6) and then pass to the neural network inputs. The class membership function has been modified to have the output value within the range of zero to one, [0,1], which indicates the degree of similarity to that class. The process of training and testing using fuzzy-neural network is shown in Figure 4 where the class membership function has been employed in the fuzzy feature measurement and fuzzy output vector.

\[
A = \{ r, \mu_A(r) \}, \quad r \in R, \quad \mu_A(r) \in [0,1] \quad \text{(5)}
\]
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2.4. Hidden Markov Model

The discrete hidden markov model (DHMM) applied to Thai speech recognition [6] is a 15-state left-to-right model as shown in Figure 4 with the property of state transition probabilities \( a_{ij} \) as shown in (7). The model configuration has been modified and adapted to accommodate the characteristics of Thai language and the polysyllabic words. The vector quantization algorithm in cooperation with the DHMM has been applied to replace a 10-order LP coefficient feature vector with a single scalar value of 256-vector codebook with minimum distortion. The K-Mean clustering algorithm has been employed in codebook training to create the 256-vector reference codebook for vector quantization using mean-squared error (MSE) distortion measure. The forward-backward procedure and the Baum-Welch reestimation procedure have been employed during training process. On unknown utterances testing, the Viterbi algorithm has been applied.

\[
a_{ij} > 0, \quad j > i + 2 \quad \text{(7)}
\]
3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The recognition systems presented in this correspondence are based on the same configuration and speech databases but with different recognition approaches as shown in Figure 5. The 8,400 Thai utterances of 70 polysyllabic words from 60 male and female speakers are recorded twice at 16-bit and 11.025 KHz sampling rate. The speech samples are passed through the signal preprocessing--signal preemphasis, frame blocking, and smoothing window. The signal is preemphasized using the transfer function $1-0.952-I$ and smoothing windowed using 20 ms Hamming window with 5 ms frame shift. The 10-order Linear Prediction (LP) coefficient analysis is applied for speech feature extraction from each speech frame as a feature vector forming feature vector sequence of speech utterance for training and testing.

The recognition results on Thai numerals and polysyllabic word recognition using the hidden markov model, neural network, and fuzzy-neural network are shown in Table 1. The recognition error rate using the hidden markov model, the neural network, the modified neural network, and the fuzzy-neural network are 15.75 %, 26.97 %, 22.00 %, and 8.50 % respectively. From the recognition result, the hidden markov model has the highest accuracy among other techniques--not required to have the signal time-normalized compared to the neural network. In other words, the hidden markov model has no constraint on the length of the input sequences. In numerals recognition, error rate has been reduced by 41.60 % using HMM compared to the conventional neural network. The recognition error rate has been reduced by 18.43 % and 68.48 % using the modified neural network and the fuzzy-neural network respectively compared to the conventional neural network.

The substantial decline on the recognition error rate of the modified neural network over the conventional neural network results from the capability and flexibility of the slope adaptation to errors and the momentum parameters on weight updating in the error backpropagation algorithm during training. The modification on the backpropagation algorithm offers a significant improvement to the neural network.

The fuzzy-neural network has shown a vast improvement in the recognition accuracy over the modified neural network and the conventional neural network. The class membership function output value of the fuzzy-neural network has been modified over the conventional class membership value to be within the range of zero to one. This modification leads to a major improvement in recognition rate over the regular class membership value as well.

4. SUMMARY

This correspondence has presented the comparative review of different recognition approaches on the isolated Thai numerals and the Thai polysyllabic words recognition. The hidden markov model shows promising recognition accuracy over other approaches. Modification on error backpropagation algorithm and the fuzzy embedded into neural network have shown the substantial improvement in recognition rate over the conventional neural network. From these researches and experiments, the application of Thai speech recognition system could be realistic in the near future.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recognition Techniques</th>
<th>Recognition Error Rate (%)</th>
<th>Recognition Error Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Numerals</td>
<td>Single Syllable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Time Warping [1]</td>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Neural Network [3]</td>
<td>26.97</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Backpropagation Neural Network [4]</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy-Neural Network [5]</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>16.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Thai Speech Recognition Results using Different Recognition Techniques

Figure 5: The Thai Speech Recognition System on Thai Numerals and Thai Polysyllabic Words