Abstract

With the prevalence of digital cameras, the number of digital images increases quickly, which raises the demand for image quality assessment in terms of blur. Based on the edge type and sharpness analysis using Harr wavelet transform, a new blur detection scheme is proposed in this paper, which can determine whether an image is blurred or not and to what extent an image is blurred. Experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed scheme.

1. Introduction

With the popularization of digital cameras, many home users have collected more and more digital photos. However, due to the lack of expertise, some of these images are of poor quality. One of the key factors that lead to quality degradation is blur. To help home users restore those photos or simply discard them, automatic blur detection is highly desirable. That is to judge whether or not a given image is blurred and to determine to what extent the image is blurred.

As far as we know, few research efforts have been made to judge whether or not a given image is blurred up till now. However, based on the explicit or implicit assumption that the given image is blurred, some existing methods can be used to determine the blur extent, which can be categorized into indirect and direct methods.

Linear blur can be modeled as [1]

\[ G = H \ast F + N \]  

(1)

where matrices \( G, F \) and \( N \) represent, respectively, the noisy blurred image, the original image and the noise, while the matrix \( H \) represents the blur function. Indirect methods rely on the reconstruction of blur function \( H \) when it is unknown, which are essentially blur identification and blur estimation. In the past few decades, there has been a large amount of related research. For example, Panchapakesan et al in [4] proposed a blur identification approach using vector quantizer encoder distortion; Pavlovic et al in [5] resort to maximum likelihood to identify blur function; Rooms et al in [6] proposed to estimate the out-of-focus blur in wavelet domain by examining the sharpness of the sharpest edges. Although blur function can be used as an indicator of blur extent, the computational cost is very high.

Compared with the large amount of research focusing on indirect methods, it seems that not much attention has been paid to direct methods. Direct methods determine blur extent by examining some discriminative features. One of such features is edge. When blur occurs, both the edge type and its sharpness will change. By examining the absence of alternating component (AC) coefficients which indicate the edge sharpness, the authors of [3] proposed using DCT information to qualitatively characterize blur extent. However, it will lose its efficiency for over-illuminated or dark images and those with uniform background.

In this paper, we propose a new blur detection scheme using Harr wavelet transform, which belongs to direct methods. It can not only judge whether or not a given image is blurred, which is based on edge type analysis, but also determine to what extent the given image is blurred, which is based on edge sharpness analysis. The proposed scheme takes advantage of the ability of Harr wavelet transform in both discriminating different types of edges and recovering sharpness from the blurred version. It is effective for both Out-of-focus blur and Linear-motion blur. Furthermore, its effectiveness will not be affected by the uniform background in images. The proposed scheme is fast since it does not have to reconstruct the
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blur function $H$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we present our scheme in detail; experimental results are given in section 3; finally, we conclude the paper in section 4.

2. Blur detection scheme

Different edges are generally classified into three types: namely, Dirac-Structure, Step-Structure and Roof-Structure \cite{8, 9, 10}. In this paper, we further classify Step-Structure into Astep-Structure and Gstep-Structure according to whether the change of intensity is gradual or not. A graphical description of different types of edges is given in Fig. 1. Note that for Gstep-Structure and Roof-Structure edge, there is a parameter $\alpha \ (0 < \alpha < \pi/2)$ indicating the sharpness of the edge: the larger $\alpha$ is, the sharper the edge is.

The basic idea of our scheme is as follows: In general, most natural images contain all types of edges more or less, and most Gstep-Structure and Roof-Structure are sharp enough. When blur occurs, no matter whether it is caused by Out-of-focus or Linear-motion, both Dirac-Structure and Astep-Structure will disappear. What is more, both Gstep-Structure and Roof-Structure tend to lose their sharpness. Our scheme judges whether a given image is blurred according to whether it has Dirac-Structure and Roof-Structure edge, and uses the percentage of Gstep-Structure and Roof-Structure which are more likely to be in a blurred image to determine the blur extent. The whole structure of our scheme is shown in Fig. 2.

2.1. Blur effect on different edges

Since there is not much noise in images acquired from digital cameras, the noise item $N$ in (1) can be neglected. The main blur functions $H$ are Out-of-focus and Linear-motion. In both cases, the convolution operation will change the edge property. An empirical comparison between the original edge and its blurred version is listed in table1.

In this section, we will first analyze blur effect on different types of edges in subsection 2.1; then Harr wavelet transform for edge detection will be given in subsection 2.2 and its ability in both discriminating blur types and recovering sharpness from the blurred version will be carefully examined and summarized; finally, subsection 2.3 will give the detail of the proposed scheme.

2.2. Edge type and sharpness detection

Wavelet Transform is well known for its multi-resolution analysis ability. Based on the important fact that local maxima of a wavelet transform detect the location of irregular structures which is proved in \cite{2}, the authors of \cite{9, 10} further use modulus-angle-separated wavelet (MASW) to detect Dirac-Structure and Step-Structure edge respectively. However, MASW is very time consuming. So in this paper, we use Harr wavelet transform (HWT) instead, which is widely used \cite{7, 8}.

The detailed algorithm to detect edge using Harr wavelet transform is listed below:

**Algorithm 1: HWT for edge detection**

**Step1**: Perform Harr wavelet transform to the original image and the decomposition level is 3. The result is a hierarchical pyramid-like structure (Fig. 3);

**Step2**: Construct the edge map in each scale:

\[
E_{i, k, l}(i = 1, 2, 3) = H_{i, k, l} + H_{i, l, k} + H_{i, k, l}
\]

**Step3**: Partition the edge maps and find local maxima in each window. The window size in the highest scale is $2 \times 2$, the next coarser scale is $4 \times 4$, and the coarsest one is $8 \times 8$. The result is denoted as $E_{i, k, l}(i = 1, 2, 3)$.

In algorithm 1, $E_{i, k, l}$ represents the intensity of the edge: the larger $E_{i, k, l}$ is, the more intense the edge is. For a given threshold, if $E_{i, k, l} > \text{threshold}$, $(k, l)$ is labeled an edge point in the corresponding scale; otherwise it is labeled a non-edge point.

We perform algorithm 1 on different types of edges and find that the effect is quite different. The relative intensity in different scales of different types is summarized in table2.

Table 1: Blur effect on different types of edges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>After Blur</th>
<th>Change of $\alpha$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dirac-Structure</td>
<td>Roof-Structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astep-Structure</td>
<td>Gstep-Structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gstep-Structure</td>
<td>Gstep-Structure</td>
<td>Smaller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof-Structure</td>
<td>Roof-Structure</td>
<td>Smaller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Effect of HWT on different types of edges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$E_{\text{max}1}$</th>
<th>$E_{\text{max}2}$</th>
<th>$E_{\text{max}3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dirac-Structure</td>
<td>Highest</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Lowest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astep-Structure</td>
<td>Highest</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Lowest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gstep-Structure</td>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Highest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof-Structure</td>
<td>Lowest</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Highest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In algorithm 1, $E_{\text{max}1}$ represents the intensity of the edge: the larger $E_{\text{max}1}$ is, the more intense the edge is. For a given threshold, if $E_{\text{max}1}(k, l) > \text{threshold}$, $(k, l)$ is labeled an edge point in the corresponding scale; otherwise it is labeled a non-edge point.

We perform algorithm 1 on different types of edges and find that the effect is quite different. The relative intensity in different scales of different types is summarized in table2.
Another important property of Harr wavelet transform is its ability to recover the sharpness of the blurred edge: when observed in small scale, the blurred Roof-Structure and Gstep-Structure will become thinner and thus recover their sharpness.

The above two important properties of Harr wavelet transform lead to the following five rules:

**Rule1**: if \( E_{\text{max}}(k,l) > \text{threshold} \) or \( E_{\text{max}}(k,l) > \text{threshold} \) and \( E_{\text{max}}(k,l) > \text{threshold} \), \((k,l)\) is an edge point.

**Rule2**: For any edge point \((k,l)\), if \( E_{\text{max}}(k,l) > E_{\text{max}}(k,l) > E_{\text{max}}(k,l) \), \((k,l)\) is Dirac-Structure or Astep-Structure.

**Rule3**: For any edge point \((k,l)\), if \( E_{\text{max}}(k,l) < E_{\text{max}}(k,l) < E_{\text{max}}(k,l) \), \((k,l)\) is Roof-Structure or Gstep-Structure.

**Rule4**: For any edge point \((k,l)\), if \( E_{\text{max}}(k,l) > E_{\text{max}}(k,l) \) and \( E_{\text{max}}(k,l) > E_{\text{max}}(k,l) \), \((k,l)\) is a Roof-Structure.

**Rule5**: For any Gstep-Structure or Roof-Structure edge point \((k,l)\), if \( E_{\text{max}}(k,l) < \text{threshold} \), \((k,l)\) is more likely to be in a blurred image.

### 3. Experimental results

In this section, we will show some experimental results. There are two parameters needed to be set: \(\text{threshold}\) and \(\text{MinZero}\). Since human vision systems are not sensible to intensity below intensity 30, we choose 35 as \(\text{threshold}\). In ideal situation, \(\text{MinZero}\) should be set to zero. In practice, it can be chosen as a positive real number that is very close to zero. In our experiment, we set it to be 0.05 and find out that the final accuracy only changes a little when \(\text{MinZero}\) varies between 0.01 and 1.

The un-blurred images used in the experiment are taken from Corel database, and the blurred ones are simulated using equation (1) without the noise term. The experimental results are listed in table3. An example demonstrating the effectiveness of our scheme for determining blur extent is shown in Fig. 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Image Set</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Un-blurred</td>
<td>1398</td>
<td>98.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion Blur</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>98.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-focus Blur</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2355</strong></td>
<td><strong>98.60</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table3. Experimental result of proposed scheme**

Harr wavelet transform accounts for most of the processing time. In practice, the time needed is mainly determined by the image size. For a 256 x 384 image, the consuming time is about one second (Intel(R) Celeron(TM) 1300MHz, 256M RAM).

### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a new blur detection scheme for images taken by digital cameras. The scheme makes use of the ability of Harr wavelet transform in both discriminating different types of edges and recovering sharpness from the blurred version. In general, any natural image contains all types of edges more or less. What is more, the un-blurred Gstep-Structure and Roof-Structure are sharp enough. When blur occurs, 1) all Dirac-Structure and Astep-Structure will disappear; 2) both Gstep-Structure and Roof-Structure tend to lose their sharpness. The scheme judges whether or not an image is blurred according to whether or not it
contains any Dirac-Structure or Astep-Structure. Blur extent is characterized by blur confident coefficient based on the percentage of Gstep-Structure and Roof-Structure which are more likely to be in a blurred image. Experimental results show that the proposed scheme is effective and efficient.
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