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ABSTRACT

The use of \textit{in vivo} calcium imaging has granted researchers the unprecedented ability to study large populations of neurons in real time, enabling direct observation of how the brain processes information. Such data offers great potential, however for current analysis techniques, successful extraction of the true neuronal signals is intimately tied to the proper selection of multiple user-defined parameters, which must be tuned for each video sequence. To overcome such issues, we propose a novel parameter-free independent component analysis (ICA)-based method, ICA with signal reconstruction and ordering (ICA+SRO), to automatically extract neuronal signals from calcium imaging sequences. The power of ICA+SRO is demonstrated on a real calcium imaging sequence. We compare the results of ICA+SRO with those from the popular principal component analysis-ICA based technique and show significant improvement. The results demonstrate the simplicity of a parameter-free method and its power in extracting neuronal signals from calcium imaging sequences.

Index Terms— Independent Component Analysis, Calcium Imaging, Data-Driven Analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental goal in modern neuroscience research is understanding how behavior is encoded by the brain. This goal has been greatly forwarded by very recent advances in imaging technology, see \textit{e.g.}, [2,22], which have enabled the study large populations of neurons in real time. Given the potential offered by such imaging data, many methods have been developed to extract individual neuronal signals from the high levels of noise in the fluorescence images.

Traditional analysis of calcium imaging data is based on manual selection of regions of interest (ROI), see \textit{e.g.}, [3, 5, 10, 16, 18]. However, ROI-based analyses are subjective, since they are based almost entirely on human intuition and can be quite labor intensive when the number of neurons is in the hundreds. More recently, automated methods for the extraction of neuronal signals from calcium imaging sequences have been developed, see \textit{e.g.}, [19, 21]. These methods are generally based on the assumption that the spatio-temporal neuronal signals can be factored into a product of two matrices, one that encodes the spatial location of each neuron and one that describes the temporal evolution of calcium concentration for each neuron [13]. Many methods have been developed based on this assumption, including those based on principal component analysis (PCA) and independent component analysis (ICA) [9, 12], dictionary learning [11], graph cut-related algorithms [4], nonnegative matrix factorization [8], and constrained non-negative matrix factorization [13]. However, while potentially powerful, the success of these methods is intimately tied to the proper selection of multiple user-defined parameters, which must be appropriately tuned for each video sequence.

In this paper, we propose a fully automated and completely parameter-free technique, ICA with signal reconstruction and ordering (ICA+SRO), for the extraction of neuronal spatial locations and their calcium concentrations over time. We apply ICA+SRO to real \textit{in vivo} calcium imaging data from the brains of freely moving mice in fairly high noise levels and compare its performance with that of the popular PCA-ICA method proposed in [9].

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Background

2.1.1. PCA

Let the calcium imaging sequence be represented by $X \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times P}$, where $T$ is the number of frames in the video sequence and $P$ is the number of pixels in each fluorescence image. Since the number of neurons is usually much lower than $T$, dimension reduction is a crucial preprocessing step in order to remove noise and avoid overfitting. Thus prior to processing the video, dimension reduction is performed using...
PCA, with the order specified by $K$, in order to reduce $X$ to $\tilde{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times P}$, such that

$$\tilde{X} = FX,$$  

(1)

where $F \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times K}$ is the data reduction matrix and is equal to the eigenvectors corresponding to the highest $K$ eigenvalues of the sample covariance matrix of $X$. There are many methods that can be used to estimate $K$, see e.g., [17, 20], however most classical methods ignore important properties of the signals, such as sample-to-sample dependence, which can lead to an over estimation of $K$. In this work, we use the new order-selection method described in [7], due to its high performance on real functional magnetic resonance imaging data, which exhibit similar sample-to-sample dependence as that seen in calcium imaging data. The method employs an iterative procedure to jointly estimate a downsampling depth, producing samples with effectively no sample-to-sample dependence, and a model order, both through the use of the minimum description length [14] (Bayesian information criterion [15]).

2.1.2. ICA

Following PCA, $X$ is transformed into $\tilde{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times P}$, which contains the mixed neuronal signals. Note that through the use of PCA, we have removed the contribution of the $(T - K)$-dimensional noise subspace of $X$ and are left with the $K$-dimensional signal subspace; therefore the noiseless ICA model can be seen as a natural match to the underlying problem. The noiseless ICA model for $\tilde{X}$ is given by

$$\tilde{X} = \tilde{A}S,$$  

(2)

where the $K$ latent sources in $S \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times P}$ are mixed by an invertible mixing matrix $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$. Through the assumption of statistical independence on the part of sources, ICA seeks to estimate a demixing matrix, $W \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$, such that the estimated components are given by $\hat{S} = WX$. The estimated temporal evolution of each component in $\hat{S}$ or time courses, $\hat{A}$, are given by

$$\hat{A} = F^T \tilde{A},$$  

(3)

where $(\cdot)^T$ denotes the matrix transpose. Reliable extraction of the latent sources, up to scaling and permutation ambigu-
Fig. 2. Timecourses for the detected neurons (a) and selected frames from the analyzed calcium imaging sequence (b)-(e). The color of the timecourse in (a) corresponds to the color of the box in the frames. Note that ICA+SRO can detect both faint and strong neuronal activity identified using a red box and green box, respectively.

ities, is dependent upon accurately modeling their distributions, since improper modeling will lead to poor ICA performance when the true sources vary greatly from the assumed distribution [1]. For this reason, we use the parameter-free entropy bound minimization (EBM) [6] as the ICA algorithm in this work, since it can describe a wide variety of distributions including those that are unimodal, bimodal, symmetric, and skewed. Skewed distributions are a good match for the neuronal spatial locations due to the fact that they can reasonably be expected to be small relative to the total size of the image and have a higher intensity than the background.

2.2. ICA+SRO

The calcium imaging framework, ICA+SRO, depicted in Figure 1 seeks to decompose the raw calcium imaging sequence into a set of spatial neuronal footprints and their corresponding timecourses. In the first stage, we preprocess the data and perform ICA. The first stage begins by applying a median filter and z-scoring each image in the sequence in order to remove the majority of the high frequency noise. Next, PCA is performed according to (1), with the order, 15 for this video, specified using the method in [7]. Then, ICA using the EBM algorithm is performed on $\tilde{X}$, producing $\hat{S}$.

In the second stage, we analyze the estimated components in order to determine the true neuronal spatial locations and corresponding timecourses. The first step is to resolve the sign ambiguity of ICA. This is accomplished by examining the skewness, a measure of a distribution’s asymmetry about its mean, of each estimated component. The skewness of a random variable, $y$, is defined as

$$\gamma = \frac{\mathbb{E}[(y - \mu)^3]}{\left(\mathbb{E}[(y - \mu)^2]\right)^{3/2}}$$

where $\mathbb{E}(\cdot)$ is the expected value operator and $\mu$ is the mean of $y$, thus if the tail of the distribution of $y$ is larger on the right of $\mu$ than on the left, the skewness will be positive. Since the neuronal footprint should be small relative to the size of the image and have a higher intensity value than the background, its spatial skewness should be positive. Thus, we compute the skewness of each estimated component and invert the image and its corresponding time course if the skewness is negative. As can be seen in Figure 1d, though the spatial neuronal footprint is the largest object in the estimated component, the component contains some noise. For this reason, after adjusting the sign of each component, we threshold the images, selecting only those pixels that are pure white, i.e., have maximum intensity, and find the largest object that survives the threshold. The resulting component, if it describes true neuronal activation, will contain only the spatial footprint of the neurons. We should note that since ICA naturally takes the temporal evolution of the components into account through its mixing model, if a component is related to true neuronal activation, the largest object in that component must be the spatial footprint of the neuron. Once the largest object in each component is selected, for each component, we compute the average pixel intensity of the thresholded object for each frame, producing the final timecourse for that component. This step helps to remove the noise from the timecourses and removes the scaling ambiguity that is inherent in.
ICA. In the final step, we order the components based upon the skewness of their timecourses. Since the amount of neuronal activity is, in general, sparse when compared to $T$, the skewness of the timecourse should be fairly high when compared to a component that is just noise. Thus, by ordering the components by their temporal skewness, we automatically sort the components from most likely to be true neuronal signals to those components that are least likely, thus simplifying any further studies.

2.3. Calcium Imaging Data

In order to record calcium activity in fully awake animals, GCaMP6.0, an ultrasensitive protein calcium sensor, is injected into the brain to transduce genetically identical neurons in heterogeneous brain tissue. Approximately four weeks after viral transduction, the animal is briefly anesthetized and a microendoscope is inserted into the same region using blood vessels as landmarks. After the desired field of view is obtained, a baseplate is fixed to the animal's head, thus making the endoscope more secure and limiting the potential for motion artifacts. Fluorescence images are obtained through implanted mini-endoscope using an integrated LED (473 nm). Images are acquired at 15 frames per second with the LED transmitting 0.1-0.2 mW of light on average. A total of 283 frames are collected, representing a time period of approximately 19 seconds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Framework</th>
<th>EBM</th>
<th>stICA, $\mu = 0$</th>
<th>stICA, $\mu = 0.1$</th>
<th>stICA, $\mu = 0.2$</th>
<th>stICA, $\mu = 0.3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Framework in [9]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>2*</td>
<td>2*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Number of detected neurons found using ICA+SRO and the framework in [9] with different ICA algorithms. The correct number of neurons, assessed through visual inspection, is 3. The parameter $\mu$ for stICA controls the trade-off between spatial ICA and temporal ICA, with $\mu = 0$ being solely spatial ICA and $\mu = 1$ being solely temporal ICA. Entries that have (+) are cases where one or more neurons is split between multiple components. ICA+SRO can find all 3 neurons and ICA-EBM does not split the neuronal signals. The performance of stICA is dependent on the choice of $\mu$, with the optimal value for this data being 0.

We next compared ICA+SRO to the one proposed in [9], which is currently the most popular method for analyzing calcium imaging data. We also probed the effect of ICA algorithm choice by comparing the parameter-free EBM algorithm used in this work with the spatio-temporal ICA (stICA) algorithm in [9]. The stICA algorithm required user selection of a parameter $\mu$, which controls the trade-off between spatial ICA and temporal ICA, with $\mu = 1$ being purely temporal ICA and $\mu = 0$ corresponding to purely spatial ICA. Note that the recommended value of $\mu$ in [9] between 0.1 and 0.2 . The results of the comparison are shown in Table 1.

From Table 1, we can see that irrespective of the ICA algorithm, the method proposed in [9] is unable to find all three neuronal signals. In contrast, ICA+SRO is able to detect all three neuronal signals, though when stICA is used instead of EBM, some neurons are split between multiple components for certain values of $\mu$. We should note that the EBM algorithm did not split the neuronal signals among multiple components. This shows both the power of ICA+SRO and the importance of minimizing the number of parameters, as noted in the case of stICA, which heavily depends on the appropriate selection of $\mu$, which is not a straightforward task. Also, note that the method proposed in [9] did not correctly sort the extracted components as our method does, requiring further manual processing to obtain the desired signals.

4. CONCLUSION

In this work, we developed a novel ICA-based method to identify and extract individual neuronal signals from calcium imaging data. This method circumvents a serious limitation of previous methods, which is the use of human intervention to isolate single neurons either through manual definition of ROI or appropriate determination of multiple user-specified parameters. Our proposed method, ICA+SRO, is parameter-free and automatically extracts and sorts neuronal signals. The power of ICA+SRO is demonstrated on a real calcium imaging sequence with both high noise and major motion artifacts and the results are significantly improved over those obtained using the popular framework proposed in [9]. The results show the power of our framework and its success without the need for manual selection of parameters, which can highly affect performance.
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