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ABSTRACT
This paper presents a novel application of Simultaneous Autoregressive models to the synthesis of magnetic material images. The effects of using either symmetric or non-symmetric neighbour sets upon the visual and statistical properties of the resulting synthesised images are investigated. The use of a neighbour set whose shape corresponds to the orientations and coarseness of the texture allows the generation of synthetic images of good quality. Also, the size of such a neighbour set is usually smaller than that of the symmetric set required to reach similar modelling accuracy, thereby minimising the computational effort.

1. INTRODUCTION
Many new magnetic materials (MM) are currently under investigation for use in the ever-growing information storage industry [7], and important aspects of their properties can be gleaned from their texture images, which are obtained under different magnetic field strengths and direction angles by the use of Transmission Electron Microscopes. It is, therefore, of considerable practical interest to be able to produce model-based artificial MM images that will provide (i) a compact way of describing the textures observed experimentally and (ii) a source of insight into the factors controlling the textural ripple, from studies of the structure and parameters of the best fitting models.

Much of the existing work on the statistical modelling of images has involved Markov Random Field (MRF) models [1, 11, 12], and in particular the simultaneous autoregressive (SAR) model [4, 6, 10]. This is because the equivalent MRF representation of a given SAR model is characterised by more parameters, and the study of SAR models can be extended to include other simultaneous models which are not subsets of Markov models [4]. This paper therefore concentrates on the use of SAR models for MM image synthesis.

With SAR models it is important to choose an appropriate neighbourhood structure. Most approaches in the literature use prescribed fixed-size neighbour sets that are spatially symmetric, with little if any justification. We investigate the use of non-symmetric neighbour sets which reflect the underlying orientations of the textures of interest. We compare the performances of different SAR models using the content similarity based approach [8, 9].

2. SAR MODELS
An observed (MM) image \( Y = \{y(s)\} \) is assumed to be a random field defined on an \( M \times M \) lattice \( \Omega \), where \( y(s) \) denotes the grey level of a pixel at location \( s = (i, j), i, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1 \). Also, it is assumed to be stationary, i.e. \( E[y(s)] = \alpha \) with \( \alpha \) being a constant. For any site \( s \) a neighbour set \( N \) is defined. The neighbour set of a pixel at or near the boundary of an image is defined by imposing a toroidal lattice structure [4]. The SAR model, expressed as follows, reflects the fact that the grey level at a site is associated with the grey levels of its neighbours [4, 6]:

\[
y(s) = \sum_{r \in N} \theta_r y(s + r) + \sqrt{\rho} w(s), \quad s \in \Omega.
\]

Here, \( \theta_r, r \in N \), are the model parameters characterising the dependence of a pixel on its neighbours; \( \{w(s)\} \) is Gaussian white noise with unit variance; \( \rho \) denotes the noise variance; and \( \oplus \) denotes an operator for addition modulo \( M \) in each coordinate.

Given an SAR model, a texture image can be synthesised by (a) choosing a neighbour set, and (b) estimating the values of the model parameters \( \theta_r \) and \( \rho \). A conventional least squares (LSQ) or maximum likelihood (ML) estimation algorithm can be used for (b); for details of these and the routine for simulating SAR realisations see [4, 6]. Although it is well known that LSQ can produce non-consistent estimators for SAR models [4], we tried both methods, in the knowledge that our MM images are, after all, not true realisations of the models.

3. SELECTION OF NEIGHBOUR SETS
Symmetric neighbour sets such as square shaped ones are usually used with SAR or MRF models [1, 6, 13], but the MM images have rather particular textural characteristics. In displaying the process of magnetic field reversal, they reveal strong directed ripples, and our experience with symmetric neighbour sets, even of a moderate size, e.g. 13 \( \times \) 13 pixels, typically did not produce SAR models that fitted the data well, as we shall see.

Although one might consider fitting SAR models with randomly generated non-symmetric neighbour sets until a suitable one is found, the MM images tend to show one or more strong
orientations, and this should be exploited. Our heuristic search approach for useful neighbour sets was as follows. Given an original image, it is first carefully examined to identify its distinctive texture shapes. The underlying orientation properties are then abstracted to form the shapes that are subsequently used to constrain the search. That is, when considering neighbour sets of a particular size (i.e. number of pixels), only those which satisfy at least one of the identified shapes are selected. As a result, only a few neighbour sets are tested. Moreover, it turns out that an upper limit on the sizes of the neighbour sets can be imposed. This enables good neighbour sets to be found with limited computational effort, and creates much better synthesised images than those based on symmetric neighbour sets.

4. RETRIEVAL OF SYNTHESISED IMAGES

The content similarity based retrieval technique is used to select the best models. The technique was originally developed to retrieve, from a given image database, those images that have the same or very similar characteristics to those specified in a user query [8, 9]. To reduce the computational complexity, low-level statistical features are used to represent images when performing the retrieval. In our application, the “user query” stands for the original image and the “image database” consists of a corresponding set of synthesised textures. The image features used to effect the comparisons are computed using a non-overlapping moving window, and include: (a) local mean, standard deviation and skewness features; (b) gradient features, computed by averaging the gradient values measured in the horizontal and vertical directions using the Sobel operators [2]; (c) fractal features, measured along horizontal, 45° diagonal, vertical and 135° diagonal directions [5]; and (d) position features, determined by the coordinates at the centre of the moving window.

In order to avoid biasing towards particular feature ranges the features are normalised to zero mean and unit standard deviation. The synthesised images are ranked on the basis of the Euclidean distance between the features of the original and those of the synthetic image, via a process of nearest-neighbour search [3].

5. SIMULATION RESULTS

The work reported here focuses on a particular type of MM image pertaining to the reversal of the free-layer in a permalloy-based spin-valve [7]. Nine real MM images of a size of 128 × 128 with the grey levels ranging from 0 to 255 are used in this study (Fig. 1). Although these images are taken from the same area of magnetic material, they have different visual properties, because of the use of magnetic fields of different magnitudes. All MM images present a smooth, rippling texture with marked directionalities.

5.1. Synthesis with Square-Shaped Neighbour Sets

Models were fitted based on five square-shaped neighbour sets, of sizes 3 × 3, 5 × 5, 7 × 7, 9 × 9 and 13 × 13, and in each case model parameters are estimated using both the LSQ and ML algorithms. Thus, for each original image ten (5 × 2) synthetic images, of a size of 128 × 128, are generated. The method of Section 4 led to the 9 top ranked synthesised images, one per original, presented in Fig. 2. The caption indicates the corresponding neighbour sets and the estimation algorithms.

Although the synthesised images do reflect, to some extent, the basic visual properties of their corresponding originals, the overall
comparison of Figures 1 and 2 is not very convincing, even though some of the models involve many parameters. These results indicate that SAR models with symmetric neighbour sets are not good candidates for MM image synthesis.

5.2. Synthesis with Texture Orientation Neighbour Sets

To examine the effect of SAR models with non-symmetric neighbour sets, we used the neighbour sets \(N_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 10\), shown in Fig. 3. These sets were selected based on the ripple direction and coarseness of the MM images, by the method of Section 3. For example, the shapes of neighbour sets \(N_1\) and \(N_7\) follow the 45\(^\circ\)-diagonal direction that is the main orientation of the MM images of Figures 1(2,1) and (3,1), and the shapes of \(N_5\) and \(N_9\) approximately resemble the ripple shape of the MM images of Figures 1(2,1) and (3,2).

The SAR models with the above neighbour sets are fitted to the 9 real MM images, using both the LSQ and the ML algorithms. A total of \(2 \times 10 \times 9 = 180\) synthesised images are, therefore, produced. Figure 4 presents the synthetic images using the neighbour sets given in Fig. 3, based on the original given in Fig. 1(2,2); for each neighbour set, we show only the better of the two images obtained using LSQ and ML. Generally speaking, the qualities of these images are much better than those obtained using symmetric sets. This is revealed in Table 1, which lists those neighbour sets that have led to the 5 best matched synthesised images for each original MM image, retrieved from an image base consisting of the \(2 \times 10\) synthetic images with non-symmetric sets and the 10 synthetic images with symmetric sets. Amongst 45 (9 x 5) synthesised images represented in the table, only five were based on symmetric neighbour sets. Furthermore, the only one of them that was ranked first required many more neighbours than the one ranked second (84 as opposed to 10), whereas the qualities of these two synthesised images are actually very similar. The nine best synthesised images, one per original, are provided in Fig. 5; for the original of Fig. 1(1,1) the second ranked synthesised image is treated as the best for the above reason. In addition, even the less satisfactory synthesised images based on non-symmetric neighbour sets generally outperform those using the symmetric sets.

Collectively, the above results indicate that an SAR model is able to characterise the spatial interaction of the image grey levels along selected directions. In particular, the structure, i.e. both the shape and the size, of a neighbour set employed within the SAR model, plays a major role in the synthesis of MM images. Different neighbour set structures capture different information embedded...
Figure 5: Top ranked synthesised image for each original. Windows (1,1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 2) and (3,3) are images respectively generated with the following neighbour sets (and the associated estimation method): $N_0$(ML), $N_0$(ML), $N_1$(ML), $N_0$(LSQ), $N_1$(ML), $N_0$(LSQ), $N_1$(ML), $N_7$(ML) and $N_3$(ML).

in an original image; some structures are suitable for coarse textures while others for fine ones. A particular neighbour set should not be expected to suit a wide variety of textures, though certain structures may happen to be applicable for a number of different originals; see the appearance in Table 1 of set $N_1$, whose orientation resembles those of Figures 1(1,3), (2,2) and (3,1). The choice of a neighbour set seems more important than the parameter estimation method, for our real images. Also, the size of a suitable non-symmetric set can be much smaller than that of the symmetric neighbour set required to achieve comparably good synthesis.

6. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented a new application of SAR models for the synthesis of textures which resemble real-life magnetic material images. The potential impact is examined of the structure of the neighbour set used in SAR models. The simulation results demonstrate that, with the use of a neighbour set structure mirroring the distinctive ripple in a given MM image, the resultant SAR model can accurately capture the essential characteristics of the image. This allows a synthetic image generated from such an SAR model to have very similar statistical and visual properties to its original. However, in general, the use of symmetric neighbour sets, even those of a moderately large size, typically results in synthesised images of a poor quality. In our future work we shall pursue the possible implications of this modelling for the understanding and representation of the underlying magnetic phenomena.
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